

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,802	06/14/2006	Toru Yamada	136170	7018
7590 09/17/2008 Oliff &Berridge,PLC PO Box 320850			EXAMINER	
			HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA	
Alexandria,, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) YAMADA, TORU 10/582,802

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit							
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be auticable under the provisions of 37 CFR 11 3696, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prior of will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is expected above, the maximum statutory prior of will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prior of will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fig. 10 MONTHS from the mailing date of the scenarios. Fig. 11 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, event after with date, with a scenarios of the scenarios. Fig. 12 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fig. 13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
— · · · —	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·= ·-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_ ′ ′ ′ —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 10-15</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 7-4 and o is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
o, and analogue to receive an analogue to receive an analogue to the control of	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)☐ objected to by the l	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) Paper No/s VMail Date 06/14/2006	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application					

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/14/2006.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/582,802 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The PTOL 1449 of 06/14/2008 has been received, reviewed and considered.

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please insert the following to reflect the proper continuing data:
- -- This application a 371 or PCTA/JO04/17193 11/18/2004--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- Claim 1 recites the limitation "...horizontal direction..." in line 7. There is
 insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word--a-before the word "horizontal" for proper antecedence.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "...main surface..." in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word--an-- before the word "main" for proper antecedence.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "...inner space..." in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word--a-- before the word "inner" for proper antecedence.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,802

Art Unit: 1792

Claim 1 recites the limitation "...top surface..." in line 18. There is insufficient
antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word--a-- before the
word "top" for proper antecedence.

7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "...outer peripheral surface..." in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word--an--before the word "outer" for proper antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese Patent Abstract 2000331939.

JP '939 teaches an epitaxial growth device(1), comprising a process chamber (2), a liner part (2a) which forms a part of the side part of the process chamber (2), gas support openings (3a to 3e), and a gas exhaust opening (4). A susceptor (7) for supporting wafer W is provided in the process chamber (2), and a pre-heating ring (9) is provided between the liner part (2a) and the susceptor (7). At the upper part of the pre-heating ring (9) are guide plates (11a to 11f) extending on a placement part (7a) a side of the susceptor (7) form the tip of the plurality of side walls (10) which form the gas supply openings (3a to 3e) in a horizontal direction. The guide plates (11a to 11f) rectify

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/582,802

Art Unit: 1792

each reactive gas guided into the process chamber (2) and guide it to a specified region on the surface of wafer W blaced on the placement part (7a).

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/582,860. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications teach a similar vapor phase growth apparatus.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,802 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 10-15 are allowed.

13. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

14. Claims 7 to 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mikhail Kornakov, can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1463.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system. see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

Application/Control Number: 10/582,802 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Felisa C. Hiteshew/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792